

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,934	05/03/2000	ANDREAS STEINMEYER	SCH1747	6707
759	90 02/10/2006	EXAMINER		
	ITE ZELANO &BRAN	QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
	COURTHOUSE PLAZA DON BOULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1400		1616		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201	DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		09/509,934		STEINMEYER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Sabiha Qazi		1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM  - Extensions of time may be available under the lafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the material part of the specified above, the spe	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. eximum statutory period w d for reply will, by statute, emonths after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMU 6(a). In no event, however, ma ill apply and will expire SIX (6) I cause the application to becom	JNICATION ay a reply be time MONTHS from to the ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
<ol> <li>Responsive to communicatio</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the</li> </ol>	2b)⊠ This ndition for allowar	action is non-final. ce except for formal m	•		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,14,19,21-26, 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,14,19,21-26, 6) Claim(s) 46-48 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	is/are withdraw  28-36,38 and 40-  I.  Id to.  restriction and/or  by the Examiner  is/are: a) acce  ny objection to the concluding the correction	In from consideration.  45 is/are allowed.  election requirement.  epted or b) □ objected drawing(s) be held in abeon is required if the draw	I to by the E eyance. See ving(s) is obje	examiner. 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper I			O-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 09/509,934

Art Unit: 1616

#### Page 2

## **Non Final Office Action**

Acknowledgement is made of the amendments filed on 11/16/05 Amendments are entered. Claims 1-3, 5, 6,14, 19, 21-26, 28-36, 38, 40-48 are pending. Claims 1-3, 5, 6,,14, 19, 21-26, 28-36, 38, 40-45 are allowed. Claims 46-48 are rejected.

Instant claims are drawn to vitamin D compounds of formula (I), in claim 1, where R1 and R2 can be exocyclic methylene group, Z represents OH, R3 can be CH3, R4 can H, V is OH and W is H or together may form a double bond, and Q is straight or branched hydrocarbon which can be substituted by OH at any position.

(I) of present

invention.

#### Response to Arguments

- Claims are amended therefore the rejection is withdrawn.
- Upon further review of prosecution history it was found that new claims 46-48 contain a proviso, which is considered a negative limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/509,934 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

• In order to advance the prosecution Applicant may consider calling the Examiner to discuss the issues surrounding this application.

- Examiner thanks Attorney Brion P. Heaney for his cooperation during the prosecution of this application.
- Applicant is requested to disclose the prior art, which has been disclaimed by the proviso in claim 46.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 46-48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The proviso in claim 46 that "Q is not either -CHOH or CHOH in which the OH group is esterified" is considered a "new matter"

MPEP 2173.05(i) states: "Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. See In re Johnson, 558 F.2d 1008, 1019, 194
 USPQ 187, 196 (CCPA 1977). See Ex parte Grasselli, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983), aff'd mem., 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Any claim containing a

Application/Control Number: 09/509,934

Art Unit: 1616

negative limitation, which does not have basis in the original disclosure, should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Page 4

- The instant claims 46-48 therefore are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, under instruction from MPEP 2173.05(i), because they contain a negative limitation that does not have basis in the original disclosure.
- In Purdue Pharma LP v Faulding, Inc., 230 F.3d 1320, 1326, 56 USPQ2d 1481, 1486 (Fed. Cir. 2000), the court noted that with respect to In re Ruschig, 371 F.2d 990, 154 USPQ 118 (CCPA 1967),
- "Ruschig makes clear that one cannot disclose a forest in the original application, and then later pick out a tree of the forest and say, "here is my invention". In order to satisfy the written description requirement, the blaze marks directing the skilled artisan to that tree must be in the originally filed disclosure."
- In *In re Johnson*, the court noted that any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso *must have basis in the original disclosure*. *Only* if alternative elements are positively recited in the specification, they may be explicitly excluded in the claims. In the present case the negative limitation/exclusionary proviso does not have basis in the original disclosure, and the alternative elements were not positively recited in the specification.
- Purdue is relevant in this case, because the Appellants disclosed a genus ("a forest") in the original application, then later picked out two specific compounds ("a tree of the forest"), and are now saying, "here is my invention". In order to

Art Unit: 1616

satisfy the written description requirement, according to *Purdue*, the Appellants must disclose the specific compounds in the originally filed disclosure." (See (56 USPQ2D 1481).

- More from *Purdue*: The case of <u>In re Ruschig</u>, 379 F.2d 990, 154 USPQ 118 (CCPA 1967), is instructive here (see page 1487). The claim at issue in that case was directed to a single compound. The appellants argued that, although the compound itself was not disclosed, one skilled in the art would find support for the claimed compound in the general disclosure of the genus of compounds to which the claimed compound belonged. The <u>Ruschig</u> court rejected that argument, stating: [i]t is an old custom in the woods to mark trails by making blaze marks on the trees. It is of no help in finding a trail or in finding one's way through the woods where the trails have disappeared-or have not yet been made, which is more like the case here-to be confronted simply by a large number of unmarked trees. We are looking for blaze marks, which single out particular trees. We see none. *Id.* at 994-95, 154 USPO at 122.
- Examiner believes that since the proviso that "Q is not either -CHOH or CHOH in which the OH group is esterified" were not specifically disclosed in the specification or in original claims, disclaimer in claim 46 containing a negative limitation to exclude these compounds, does not have basis in the original disclosure, and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Application/Control Number: 09/509,934 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Padmanabhan, Sreeni (acting) can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Saturday, February 06, 2006

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER